Application No. 10/803,179 Reply to Office Action dated June 21, 2006

REMARKS

Applicants filed a Rule 116 Amendment on November 20, 2006. The

undersigned telephoned the Examiner today to confirm receipt, and to note the status of two

related applications as discussed below.

More specifically, there are two pending continuation-in-part (CIP) applications

claiming priority from the present application; namely, U.S. Application Nos. 10/826,972 and

 $11/108,\!164 \ (both \ under \ examination \ by \ Examiner \ Venkataraman \ Balasubramanian). \ In \ both \ of$

these CIP applications, the present application is being cited to support an obviousness-type

double patenting rejection. Assuming the present application issues prior to one or both of the

CIP applications, then no further action need be taken by the Examiner. However, should either

of the CIP applications issue before the present application, Applicants wish to ensure that the

Examiner has been made aware of the potential for entry of such a rejection.

Respectfully submitted.

SEED Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC

/Karl R. Hermanns/

Karl R. Hermanns. Registration No. 33,507

KRH:lk

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5400

Seattle, Washington 98104-7092 Phone: (206) 622-4900

Fax: (206) 682-6031 868353 1.DOC

2